

Review Article

Open Access

Recent Advances in Genetically Engineered Microorganisms and Their Risks

Jiregna Gari^{1*}  Ibsa Teshome¹ and Bekiyad Shasho¹

¹Ambo University, College of Agriculture and Veterinary Science, Department of Veterinary Microbiology, Ambo, Ethiopia

*Corresponding Author: Gari J, Ambo University, College of Agriculture and Veterinary Science, P.O. Box 19, Ambo, Ethiopia. E-mail: jiregnagari@gmail.com

Citation: Gari J, Teshome I, Shasho B. Recent Advances in Genetically Engineered Microorganisms and Their Risks. Journal of Biomedical and Biological Sciences. 2023;2(2):1-16.

Copyright: © 2023 Gari J, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received Date: 15th March, 2023

Accepted Date: 24th April, 2023

Published Date: 10th May, 2023

Abstract

Genetically engineered microorganism has applications in various domains such as, agriculture, bioscience, healthcare, life sciences and research. The novel methods of the system Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats associated protein 9, which originates from archaeal and bacterial immune systems and allows significant improvements to modified strains of microorganism, represented a major innovation in industrial biotechnology that includes modifying genes of microbes. With the rapid advancement in genetically engineered microorganisms have shown potential for bioremediation, food enzyme production, probiotics and pesticide. Recently, engineered microbes have been used in several industries like dairy, pharmaceutical, biotech and agrochemical. Modified microorganism also used as biosensor improved with reporter genes to promoter that induce its expression depending on nature and concentration of the compound of interest to monitor environmental pollution. Insertion of a single gene into different cells can result in diverse outcomes and the general pattern of gene expression can be changed. This review provides a general overview of genetically engineered microorganisms that have been considered a threat to environment, animal and human health. More advanced and better techniques should be developed and applied in the genetic engineering of microbes to minimize risks.

Keywords: Bioremediation, Biopesticide, CRISPR, Genetically engineered microorganism, Genetically modified microorganism.

Abbreviations: CRISPR: Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats; DNA: Deoxyribonucleic Acid; FDA: Food and Drug Authority; GEM: Genetically Engineered Microorganism; GFP: Green Fluorescent Protein; GMM: Genetically Modified Microorganism; GMO: Genetically Modified Organism; LAB: Lactic Acid Bacteria; PCR: Polymerase Chain Reaction; RNA: Ribonucleic Acid.

Review Article

Open Access

Introduction

Microorganism have an important role on environmentally, economically and socially. They have advantage to perform a key role and act as prominent engineers in overriding all ecological transformations [1]. Genetically engineered microorganism is those microorganisms whose genetic material has been already changed by different genetic engineering techniques by natural or artificial genetic exchange between microorganisms [2]. Recombinant living organisms obtained by recombinant DNA techniques or by natural genetic material exchange between organisms [3]. The first organisms genetically modified in the laboratory were bacteria, because of the relative ease of altering their chromosomes. Bacteria are inexpensive, easy to grow, reproduce rapidly and can be stored at low temperature. This ease made them important tools for the creation of other genetically modified organisms [4].

Genes and other genetic information from a wide range of organisms can be added to a plasmid and introduced into bacteria for storage and modification [5]. Isolated gene can be stored inside the bacteria to provide an unlimited supply for research. A large number of custom plasmids make manipulating DNA extracted from bacteria relatively easy [6]. Recently biotechnology has allowed the movement of genetic material across distinct species, those impossible with the outdated breeding approaches. Genetic engineering techniques enable scientists to find individual genes that govern particular characteristics, separate them from the original source, and transfer them directly into the cells of an animal, plant, bacterium or virus [7]. Genetic modification has been enhanced the consumption and removal of dangerous undesirable wildernesses under laboratory conditions by creating genetically modified organisms [8].

Environmental applications of microorganisms are wide ranging and diverse from bioremediation, biopesticides, nitrogen fixation, plant growth promoter, to biocontrol of plant diseases, and other such agricultural practices. The practical application of recombinant DNA techniques has shown the potential

for genetically improved microorganisms to be used as soil or seed inoculants [9]. When genetically engineered microbes introduced into the environment, they could have unintended environmental consequences and may play more pronounced ecological roles than the wild types [10]. Genetically improved microorganisms are able to reproduce and establish themselves as persistent populations and may have long-term effects on biological communities and natural ecologies [11]. The extraordinary successes in biochemistry and molecular biology over the past decades have led to extensive use of GEMs (Genetically engineered microorganisms) in the production of medical and food substances [12].

Genetically engineered microbes are advancing food production by increasing efficiency, reducing waste and resource requirements and ultimately enabling beneficial innovations such as the cost-effective fortification of food with essential nutrients, vitamins, and amino acids, and delivery of tailored enzymes to achieve unique food processing capabilities [13]. Genetic modification of microbial strains usually acts to increase the production of preferred compounds or limit the release of unwanted metabolic yields. The possibility of introducing genetically modified organisms (GMOs) and genetically modified microorganisms (GMMs) into the food industry represents an interesting solution for meeting the market demands [14].

DNA modification may not be limited only to the particular characteristics of the replaced gene; therefore, it is important to ensure that when these organisms are released into nature, they do not harm the environment or human health. Such concerns have led to wide-ranging interests in the theme of risk assessment in the release of GMOs [1]. Objective of this review is mainly to illustrate current advances in genetically engineered microorganisms' application as well as risk that associated with transgenic microbes on environment, health of animal and human.

Historical Background of Genetically Engineered Microorganisms

Review Article

Open Access

Human activity has been linked with microorganisms for many centuries. One of the ancient known was food preservation methods is fermentation, this fermentation process has been used for conserving foods, making different food products, pharmaceutical manufacturing and environmental management was those principal [15]. This kind of advances already started early in the history of humanity with the use of microorganisms [16].

The fermentation processes for gaining those food products are very complex and usually involve many types of microorganisms, like yeast, lactic acid bacteria (LAB), and fungus [17]. Then industrial revolution comes and change need for processed food, food products containing additives with high nutritional value and with minimal health risks [16]. The emergence and development of genetic engineering through past few decades improves novel properties that have high potential for use in industry of food, chemical, and pharmaceutical as well as biotechnology and medicine [18].

Genetically engineered microorganism revolutionizes the food industry by generating products of superior quality with more pronounced tastes and smells and pleasant textures. However, despite the huge number of research studies concerning the amelioration of microorganisms through genetic engineering techniques, at present only a few GMM strains are commercially used or pending approval. Therefore, attempts are being made to use alternative techniques for the genetic and metabolic amelioration of food microorganisms [19].

In the early 1970s, microorganisms, *E. coli*, were used at the pole position of molecular biology research, ensuing in the beginning of recombinant DNA technology [20]. In 1982 FDA (Food and Drug Authority) approved first recombinant protein, human insulin, produced by genetically engineered microorganism as a drug for diabetes [21]. Since then, many recombinant proteins have been engineered and expressed in microorganisms and approved for use as pharmaceuticals [22].

Recombinant DNA technology presents a significant

advance in genetically modification of organism for economic importance [23]. Genetic modification of microbes is much easier compared to multicellular organisms. Many microorganisms are single cell organisms, in laboratory those microorganisms can be easily grown in large quantities and their DNA can easily introduced [24]. Genetically engineered microorganism recently used in the pharmaceutical and biotech organizations for production of antibiotics and proteins. Different proteins were cloned using modified microorganism for production of human insulin, development hormone, and hepatitis B immunization [25]. In general, less complicated compared with multicellular organisms, facilitating targeted genetic manipulations [26].

Basic Steps in Genetic Engineering of Microorganisms

Genetic engineering is a modern technology, which allows to design microorganisms capable of degrading, sensing, specific contaminants or products. Genetic engineering creates artificial mixture of genes those not occur composed in nature [27]. The most often methods used comprise engineering through single genes or operons, pathway construction and alternations of sequences of existing genes [28].

The first step is to separate and isolate DNA of our interest to identify the genes or genes that we are interested in, the DNA of target. The green fluorescent protein (GFP) commonly used as an expression marker in molecular techniques. In cloning a gene, it is helpful to use a cloning vector, typically a plasmid or virus, capable of independent Replication that will stably carry the target DNA from one location to another [29].

The second step is to cut isolated DNA with restriction endonucleases, once the target and vector DNA have been identified, both types of DNA are cut using Restriction endonucleases [30]. The enzymes are widespread in both bacteria and archaea, with each enzyme recognizing a specific inverted repeat sequence that is palindromic [31]. The gene is separated by using restriction enzymes to cut the DNA into fragments or PCR to amplify up the gene segment. Gel electrophoresis is used to extract the segment. For the

Review Article

Open Access

known DNA sequence, but no copies of the gene are available, it can also be artificially synthesized [32, 33].

The third step is combining target and vector DNA, Restriction endonuclease are used to cleave for both types of DNA. DNA ligase is used to combine those DNA together [27]. Once the gene of our interest is ligated into a plasmid then introduced into a bacterium. The plasmid is replicated when the bacteria divide or multiply, ensuring unlimited copies of the gene are available [34]. This results in the recombinant DNA formation. Plasmids are commonly used as cloning vectors in genetic engineering to multiply or express particular genes [35]. Vector is a genetic molecule for transfer of a new genetic information into another cells, where it replicates independently of their chromosomal DNA [11, 31].

The fourth step is introducing recombined DNA into host cell. The target DNA that has been stably combined with vector DNA or the recombinant DNA must be introduced into a host cell, to obtain the target genes to be expressed [36]. Recently, with phage vectors, an *in vitro* packaging method has been developed to overcome the need for *E. coli* cells to take up free DNA. Techniques like transduction, electroporation, transfection and transformation was used for getting DNA from cells and molecular cloning process significantly detectable through the experimental methods [37].

The fifth step is selection of microbial cells containing the needed recombinant vectors and then growth of the transformed microorganisms [32].

The last Step is Expression of the gene to obtain the desired product [33, 37]. The major object of genetic manipulations was done mainly from genus *Pseudomonas*. There are everywhere occupants of many environments and recognized as efficient degraders of many toxic and lethal substances. Therefore, such microorganisms are the main source of catabolic genes for genetic engineering [38].

Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats (CRISPR)

Technology for Genetic Engineering of Microbes

The advances of the system clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)/CRISPR-associated protein 9 (Cas9) embodied a major innovation in application of biotechnology which originates from archaeal and bacterial immune systems [39]. The Cas9 endonuclease introduces double-stranded breaks into the target DNA. Using endogenous repair pathways, the genomic DNA can either be altered by introducing mutations or by introducing a specific donor sequence. This is mediated by the non-homologous end-joining DNA repair mechanism or by the homologous repair system [40].

CRISPR/Cas9 is tremendously orthogonal and multipurpose system has stimulated research in the fields of metabolic engineering, systems biology and synthetic biology [41]. The CRISPR/Cas9 technology consists of different toolbox includes CRISPR/Cas9 editing, activation, interference, and CRISPR-mediated protein imaging. These basic tools can be used to classic the genome and program the wanted gene expression, stonework the way for efficient genomic and transcriptomic manipulations to improve the productivity of microbes. The method has been successfully applied to a number of industrially important microorganisms including bacteria, yeast, and filamentous fungi [42].

Selection of recombinant strains using CRISPR/Cas9 system used as an alternative to antimicrobial resistance genes. Using CRISPR/Cas9 technique, the extracellular pullulanase production were enhanced in the *B. subtilis* strain WS5. Since proteases can degrade heterologous enzymes, such as for pullulanase, genes related to the protease production were disrupted [43].

CRISPR/Cas9 has also been used for the modification of prokaryotes. One of the best examples is, an industrial *Penicillium subrubescens* strain has been developed. Because, the *ku70* gene was deleted, which participated in the non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) DNA reparation mechanism. With this deletion, the homologous repair system is favoured, and

Review Article

Open Access

desired DNA sequence into the cleavage site is favoured [44]. Genetically modified microbes have provided an attractive way intended for biosynthesis of numerous chemicals from renewable resources. CRISPR-Cas methods have assisted as influential appliances for making cell factories with required properties by working on nucleic acids quickly and efficiently [45].

Genetically Engineered Microorganisms for Bioremediation

Ecological community contains microorganisms that have complex relation with another organism. Co-metabolism is the main mechanism of degradation of pollutants. Moreover, synergism is common among microorganisms for pollutant degradation [46]. Recombinant DNA and RNA technologies have been used for engineering microbes, for utilizing for the removal of heavy metals and toxic substance from contaminated sites. Transgenic plants can also mobilize or destroy chlorinated solvent, xenobiotic molecules, explosives and phenolic substances [47]. An interdependent relationship among genetic engineered microorganisms and modified plants can improve the effectiveness of bioremediation on contaminated sites [48].

A modified bacterium, *Rhodopseudomonas capsulate*, has been used in advanced swine waste treatment plants in country like Japan and Republic of Korea [49]. The concentration of short chain fatty acids is one of the main sources of the bad odour of swine wastes, decreased dramatically after treatment. The residue after treatment can be used as a safe organic fertilizer [50].

Pseudomonas fluorescens strain designated HK44, was one of genetically modified bacteria released into a contained soil environment for remediation of contaminant. Parental strain from which strain HK44 (*Pseudomonas fluorescens*) derivative was isolated from an industrial gas plant facility highly polluted with polyaromatic hydrocarbons [51, 52]. The pUTK21, naphthalene catabolic plasmid which was hosted into this strain to produce modified form of *P. fluorescens* HK44 [51]. Additionally, strain HK44 comprises a

transposon-based bioluminescence producing *lux* gene fused within a promoter for the naphthalene catabolic genes. Therefore, introduction of strain HK44 to naphthalene marks in improved catabolic expression of gene, degradation of naphthalene, and a coincident bioluminescent response [53].

The strain HK44 serves as a reporter for naphthalene bioavailability and biodegradation and, through bioluminescence signalling, can be used as an online tool for *in situ* monitoring of bioremediation processes [54, 55]. One of the toxic heavy metals which can be released into the environment is Mercury. Genetically modified *Escherichia coli* strain JM109 has capability to degrade mercury from contaminated water, soil or sediment. Genetically engineered bacteria holding the MerA gene can remove mercury from a contaminated site [53, 56]. Transgenic bacteria expressing metallothioneins and polyphosphate kinase can promote effective mercury bioremediation [48].

In another study genetically engineered *Deinococcus radiodurans* and *Pseudomonas putidia* are capable of degrading organic pollutants in contaminated sites. The use of organophosphates in agriculture, as pesticides, has been shown to cause serious environmental pollution [48]. Genetically engineered bacteria are capable of metabolizing chlorinated organic compounds such as lindane and trichloroethylene [57].

Importance of Genetically Engineered Microbes in Food Industry

Industrial food enzyme production

The use of food enzymes by the industrial food industry is continuously increasing. Food enzymes are typically gained by microbial fermentation [4]. Optimization of fermentation process made changes in nutritional and biochemical quality of foods for which both wild-type and genetically modified strains are used to increase food enzyme production [58]. Recombinant enzymes are used to advance and increase enzyme's features, like its action, temperature optimum, and pH stability [59]. Enzymes such as amylase, maltase, proteinase,

Review Article

Open Access

pectinase, mannanase, catalase, invertase, cellulase are generally produced from fermenting microorganisms in various food industry [60]. Most genetically modified enzymes are expressed in well-established standard microbial model systems such as *E. coli* and *P. pastoris* [58].

Genetically altered strain of *R. oryzae* alpha amylase attained by means of site saturation mutagenesis had a higher optimal temperature and lower optimum pH than the wildtype enzyme, properties better suited for use in high maltose syrup production [61]. A mutant *Aspergillus aculeatus* b-glucosidase obtained using site-saturation mutagenesis had improved hydrolytic efficiency especially to cellobiose, and was used to accelerate the saccharification of alkaline-pretreated bagasse [62].

The modification of *Thermotoga maritima* with their substrate specificity to obtain glucosidases after genetic modification was enhanced for quercetin glucosides, suggesting its usage in producing aglycones that have higher pharmaceutical activity compared with the substrate [58]. Modified strain of *Aspergillus* and *Bacillus* species can produce food proteases this indicates that engineered metalloproteases obtained through site saturation mutagenesis of His224 had improved affinity for substrate, making the synthesis of Z-aspartame more cost-effective [63].

Genetically Modified Lactic Acid Bacteria

Lactic acid bacteria are Gram-positive bacteria with a heterogeneous group that are comprised of the genera *Lactobacillus*, *Oenococcus*, *Lactococcus*, *Streptococcus*, *Enterococcus*, *Leuconostoc*, *Carnobacterium*, *Pediococcus*, *Tetragenococcus*, *Vagococcus* and *Weissella*. Genetic modifications in Lactic acid bacteria can be attained through plasmid encoded expression systems or chromosomal modifications [64]. Genetic alteration of lactic acid bacteria can produce in improved strains with a wide range of potential applications including for therapies, food industry and metabolite production. Live lactic acid bacteria have been developed as biotherapeutics, particularly for the treatment of gastrointestinal

disorders [65]. Complete gene clusters, encoding exopolysaccharide producing enzymes have been transformed from one lactic acid bacteria strain to another one. Newly produced strains could stimulate viscosity and texture of the fermented product of our desire item for consumption [66].

The gene of *S. thermophiles*, the phosphoglucosyltransferase was inactivated resulting in improved exopolysaccharide production enhancing the viscosity of the fermented food product. Engineering of exopolysaccharide production in *L. lactis* was also achieved by using a self-cloning strategy [67]. Genetic engineering using genes from nonrelated microorganisms could also be used to produce high added value products, such as l-alanine. By introduction of a *Bacillus subtilis* alanine dehydrogenase gene into a *L. lactis* strain deficient in lactate production, pyruvate conversion was pushed in the direction of alanine [68].

Probiotics

Probiotics were live microorganisms which administered in adequate amounts confer a health benefit on the host. Most probiotic products include lactic acid bacteria that are known as harmless for humans and animal [69]. Researchers have tried to fill the breach in the probiotic activity spectrum by improving lactic acid bacteria strains by means of genetic modification [70]. These genetically engineered probiotic strains can be used in healthcare especially for the prevention and treatment of digestive diseases and food-borne sicknesses [71]. Research on the interfaces between gut microbes, pathogenic strains and the immune system has led to the identification of key regulatory molecules that are involved in the development of different digestive diseases [72].

Most probiotics are from the genus *Lactobacillus* or *Bifidobacterium* likely to change and diversify. Similarly, the development of new therapeutic strategies, such as the development of phagebiotics, psychobiotics, and genetically modified probiotics, is poised to become a therapeutic reality [73]. Through genetic engineering it has become promising to enhance the expression of these bioactive compounds

Review Article

Open Access

using probiotic strains. Some of the targets for the genetic modification of probiotic strains have included host gut immunomodulation, antimicrobial compound release, vitamin synthesis, short-chain fatty acids and polysaccharides with prebiotic effects, adhesins, and digestive enzymes [74].

Intestinal disorder initiated by Inflammatory bowel disease caused by persistent inflammation of gastrointestinal tract due to diminished immune response to the gut microbiota. The maintenance of intestinal homeostasis needs a balance between gut microbiota and immune cells deregulation of these immunological interactions leads to inflammation [57]. Several studies have produced genetically engineered probiotic strains that produce anti-inflammatory effects. Genetically modified *Lactococcus lactis* LL-Thy12 strain substituted the thymidylate synthase gene with a synthetic sequence encoding mature human interleukin-10. This food-grade strain was able to secrete anti-inflammatory cytokines (IL-10) and preclinical studies have revealed it be effective in the treatment of colon inflammation [70].

Genetically Modified Microorganism as Biosensor

Microbial biosensor is dense, portable, handy, cost effective and simple to use making them in situ monitoring of environmental toxic waste. An exciting application of genetically engineered microorganism is their use as sensor for biologically relevant concentrations of agrochemical, petroleum products, heavy metal and toxin in environment sample [75]. Furthermost microorganism used as biosensor are modified with reporter genes to promoter that induce its expression depending on nature and concentration of the compound of interest. Genetically engineered microbe's biosensor kits and high throughput cell arrays on chips, optic fiber or other platforms for onsite and online monitoring of environmental pollution [76].

The selection of the promoter determines the specifically to certain compounds [75]. Modified autotrophic *E. coli* strain for the detection and quantification of mevalonate, an in-between in the biosynthesis of isoprenoids, a large class of industrially

significant secondary metabolites that comprises flavor, perfume, anti-oxidants, steroids, and the anti-malarial drug artemisinin [77].

Production of isoprenoid consists mevalonate as it key precursor, enhancing the level of mevalonate is significant in increasing recombinant strains for enhanced isoprenoid production [52]. Genetically engineered microorganisms based on combining of the lux, gfp, lacZ gene reported to an inducible gene promoter have been widely applied to assay toxicity and bioavailability [77].

Genetically Engineered Microorganisms as Biopesticides

Biopesticides are all ingredients or substance derived from natural materials, including plants, animals and micro-organisms, that exhibit pesticidal activity. Such biological regulator agents are gradually targeted for genetic improvement due to a growing recognition of their potential advantage to present agriculture [78]. Biological control represents a substitute to chemical pesticides which have been imperilled to much blame due to their contrary impacts on the environment and human health. Consequently, there is a strong prerequisite to advance safer and environmentally amenable pest control using existing organisms in their natural habitats [79]. Several such organisms' biological control agents, are available that offer protection against a wide range of plant pests and pathogenic microbial agents without damaging the ecosystem [80].

The foreign genes used for transforming biological control agents can be integrated into the host genome or a plasmid. To express a heterologous gene in fungi or bacteria, the regulatory region of this gene must be modulated in its promoter and terminator regions in order to optimize the expression of the inserted gene in the new host [81]. Adding of particular genes that are recognized to confer biocontrol activity may improve biocontrol capability of organisms that do not naturally own these genes [80]. Several significant *rhizobacteria* including *Sinorhizobium meliloti* and *P. putidrii*, both of which are outstanding root colonizers, lack the capacity to produce chitinases.

Review Article

Open Access

Chitinases are enzymes that terminate chitin, a major constituent of fungi cells. Introducing genes encoding chitinases into their genome have assisted them to provide protection against plant pathogenic fungi [82].

Sinorhizobium is a symbiotic bacterium which stimulates formation of root nodules in legumes involved in fixing atmospheric nitrogen. Many *Pseudomonas* species in the rhizosphere environment produce siderophores which chelate iron ions, thereby increasing iron uptake by plants [83]. The genetically modified commercial strain (RmBPC-2) of *S. meliloti* has added genes that regulate the nitrogenase enzyme involved in nitrogen fixation [84].

Several extracellular enzymes, including chitinases, glucanases, lipases and proteases, are produced by the *Trichoderma* species, which has been improved further with the transfer of chitinase genes, notably from *Serratia marcescens* and other entomopathogenic bacteria that produce pest specific toxins are environmentally friendly alternative to chemical insecticides. [85]. Genetic engineering has great potential for the development of newly engineered entomopathogens [86].

The *Agrobacterium radiobacter* strain k84 protects plants against crown galls caused by *A. tumefaciens* strains carrying Ti-plasmids of the nopaline type. Protection conferred by *A. radiobacter* strain k84 is due to agrocin 84, an A nucleotide derivative. *A. radiobacter* has an additional negative effect on soil pathogens by being a very effective rhizosphere colonizer [87]. Even though *A. radiobacter* strain k84 has been widely used commercially for a long time, there was concern about its long-term efficacy as a biocontrol agent. This is because the gene encoding agrocin is carried on a transmissible plasmid, which can be transferred by conjugation to *A. tumefaciens*. In the event of agrocin-encoding plasmid transfer, recipient *A. tumefaciens* strains would no longer be subjected to biocontrol by *A. radiobacter* strain k84 [88]. The ensuing genetically engineered strain, known as *A. radiobacter* strain K1026, is a transgenic organism approved for use as a pesticide [89].

Bacillus thuringiensis has been used as a biopesticide

for several years. The insecticidal action of *B. thuringiensis* is based on the creation of crystalline protein inclusions during sporulation. The crystal proteins are encoded by different cry genes and are also known as delta-endotoxins [90]. The protein crystals are highly toxic to a variety of important agricultural insect pests; when the proteins are taken up by susceptible insect larvae they induce lysis of gut cells, resulting in death of the larvae by starvation and sepsis [91].

The transgenic *P. fluorescens* strains are killed and used as a more stable and persistent biopesticide compared to the *B. thuringiensis* sprays. Moreover, cry genes are widely used to create transgenic plants that directly express the toxin and are thus protected from susceptible insect pests. *Baculoviruses* are also being manipulated to be effective biopesticides against insect pests such as corn borer, potato beetle and aphids [54].

Risks of Genetically Engineered Microorganisms

The introduction of a gene into different cells can result in different result, and the overall pattern of gene expression can be altered by the introduction of single gene. The insertion of single can impact the entire genome of the host resulting in unintended side effects [92, 93]. Furthermore, work on *Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas* has shown that the non-homogeneous texture of natural niches selects distinct populations that quickly diverge genetically from the initial inoculum. One risk associated with genetic engineering is that it is based on the idea that each trait of an organism is encoded in a single, specific gene, and that the transfer of that specific gene will also cause the transfer of the sought-after attribute [94].

Impact on the health of people

There have been concerns that eating genetically modified foods can contribute to the development of cancer by rising levels of possibilities of carcinogenic ingredient in the body. There is a risk that the microbes might pass to the human genes they carry to other bacteria in the body, with unknown consequences [24].

Review Article

Open Access

Impending adverse effects include enhanced pathogenicity, emergence of a novel disease, increased disease burden if the recipient organism is a pathogenic microorganism or virus [95]. Most of these models are related with the growing and ingesting of genetically engineered or modified crops. Different impacts could be allied with genetically modified animals and, like the risks related with plants, would depend largely on the new traits introduced into the organism [96, 97].

Ecological Impacts

Modern agriculture with genetically engineered microbes affords the potential for maintainable feeding of the world's growing population. The effects of deviations in a single species might spread beyond to the ecological unit [98]. Single impacts are always combined by the risk of ecosystem damage and destruction. In particular, studies linking genetically modified traits on ecosystem processes at longer time scales are rare, but needed for assessing trait effect, especially in an evolutionary context [99]. The impact of introduction of genetically modified microorganism on the soil ecosystem can shift of certain species of microorganism and can alter population structure and function by disturbing key ecological process [100].

Allergens in the food supply

Genetic engineering of microorganism may have resulted in a new protein or a known allergen was introduced. The problematic is unique to genetic engineering because it alone can transfer proteins across species boundaries into completely unrelated organisms [101]. Genetic engineering routinely moves proteins into the food supply from organisms that have never been consumed as foods. Some of those proteins could be food allergens, since virtually all known food allergens are proteins [102]. It is challenging to forecast whether a protein expressed in a new organism might cause allergies. Recent research substantiates concerns about genetic engineering rendering previously safe foods allergenic [73]. Newly expressed proteins from genetically modified crop those contains genes from modified microorganism have capability to sensitive immune system to respond abnormally to alike proteins due to cross reactivity with alternative allergen [101].

Antibiotic resistance

Human or animals exposed to probiotic strains holding antibiotic resistance gene that might be transferred to the commensal microbiota in vivo are at a risk mostly horizontal gene transfer can able to produce this effect. Genetic engineering often uses genes for antibiotic resistance [103]. Most genetically modified plant foods transfer fully effective antibiotic resistance genes. The occurrence of antibiotic resistance genes in foodstuffs may have adverse effects. Consumption of these foods could decrease the efficacy of antibiotics to combat disease when these antibiotics are taken with meals. Antibiotic resistance genes produce enzymes that can degrade antibiotics. The resistance genes could be transferred to human or animal pathogens, making them impervious to antibiotics [104]. Whenever were to occur, it could aggravate the already serious health problem of antibiotic-resistant disease organisms. While unmediated transmissions of genetic material from plants to bacteria are highly unlikely, any possibility that they may occur requires careful scrutiny in light of the seriousness of antibiotic resistance [4].

Concentration of toxic metals

More or less the new genes being introduced to crops with genetically engineered microbes can eliminate heavy metals like mercury from the soil and quintessence them in the tissues of plant. The aim of making such crops is to make possible the use of public sludge as biofertilizer. Sludge encompasses valuable plant nutrients, nevertheless cannot be used as fertilizer because it is contaminated with toxic heavy metals [78]. Whirling on the genes in merely some portions of the plants needs the use of genetic switches that turn on only in exact tissues, like leaves. There are also environmental risks associated with the handling and disposal of the metal-contaminated parts of plants after harvesting [105].

Modern technology is not free from risks, the full set of threats related with genetic engineering have almost surely not been recognized. The capability to conceive what might go wrong with a technology is inadequate by the currently incomplete understanding of physiology, genetics, and nutrition [4, 94, 106].

Conclusion

Genetic engineering microorganism is a recent technology that artificial manipulation, modification and recombining DNA or other nucleic acid to modify characteristic of microbes for the benefit of individual. Genetic engineering of microorganisms in many respects much easier compared to plants and animals. Microorganisms are advantages due to their growth rate. It has a number of useful applications in bio remedy, biopesticide, biosensor, food enzyme production and probiotic. (CRISPR)/CRISPR-associated protein 9 (Cas9) represented a major breakthrough in industrial biotechnology mainly used for selection of recombinant strains. Genetic modification of lactic acid bacteria can result in upgraded strains with a wide spectrum of possible applications, including for therapies, the food industry and metabolite production. However, the application of genetically engineered microorganisms, the risk of horizontal gene transfer and consequent distribution of antimicrobial resistance. One of the criticisms of genetically engineered microorganisms' tools is that it might not be safe. That does not mean that specific transgenic solutions are risk free. More advanced and better technological advancement techniques should be developed and applied in the genetic engineering of microbes to minimize risks. Detail studies are required which assess the risks of GMO (Genetically Modified Organism) crops those integrated with genetically engineered microorganism special emphasis should be given.

Authors' Contribution

JG establish review idea, Information collection and writing. IT and BS composed the draft of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Reference

1. Pant G, Garlapati D, Agrawal U, Prasuna RG, Mathimani T, Pugazhendhi A. Biological approaches practised using genetically engineered microbes for a sustainable environment: a review. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*. 2021 Mar 5; 405:124631.
2. Yaashikaa PR, Devi MK, Kumar PS. Engineering microbes for enhancing the degradation of environmental pollutants: A detailed review on synthetic biology. *Environmental Research*. 2022 Jul 12:113868.
3. Jain SM, Al-Khayri JM, Johnson DV, editors. *Date palm biotechnology*.
4. Deckers M, Deforce D, Fraiture MA, Roosens NH. Genetically modified micro-organisms for industrial food enzyme production: an overview. *Foods*. 2020 Mar 11;9(3):326.
5. Van den Eede G, Aarts H, Buhk HJ, Corthier G, Flint HJ, Hammes W, Jacobsen B, Midtvedt T, Van der Vossen J, von Wright A, Wackernagel W. The relevance of gene transfer to the safety of food and feed derived from genetically modified (GM) plants. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*. 2004 Jul 1;42(7):1127-56.
6. Melo EO, Canavessi AM, Franco MM, Rumpf R. Animal transgenesis: state of the art and applications. *Journal of applied genetics*. 2007 Mar; 48:47-61.
7. Fathurrohman MF. NARRATIVE REVIEW: STUDY OF MICROBIAL-BASED TIPPING POINTS AS HALAL FOOD PRODUCTS. *International Journal Mathla'ul Anwar of Halal Issues*. 2022 Mar 15;2(1):1-5.
8. Abatenh E, Gizaw B, Tsegaye Z, Wassie M. The role of microorganisms in bioremediation-A review. *Open Journal of Environmental Biology*. 2017 Nov 10;2(1):038-46.

Review Article

Open Access

9. Singh R. Microorganism as a tool of bioremediation technology for cleaning environment: a review. *Proceedings of the International Academy of Ecology and Environmental Sciences*. 2014 Mar 1;4(1):1.
10. Diep P, Mahadevan R, Yakunin AF. Heavy metal removal by bioaccumulation using genetically engineered microorganisms. *Frontiers in bioengineering and biotechnology*. 2018 Oct 29; 6:157.
11. Berg P, Mertz JE. Personal reflections on the origins and emergence of recombinant DNA technology. *Genetics*. 2010 Jan 1;184(1):9-17.
12. Philippe RN, De Mey M, Anderson J, Ajikumar PK. Biotechnological production of natural zero-calorie sweeteners. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*. 2014 Apr 1; 26:155-61.
13. Hanlon P, Sewalt V. GEMs: genetically engineered microorganisms and the regulatory oversight of their uses in modern food production. *Critical reviews in food science and nutrition*. 2021 Mar 26;61(6):959-70.
14. Yang M, Yun J, Zhang H, Magocha TA, Zabed H, Xue Y, Fokum E, Sun W, Qi X. Genetically engineered strains: application and advances for 1, 3-propanediol production from glycerol. *Food technology and biotechnology*. 2018 Mar;56(1):3.
15. Balciunas EM, Martinez FA, Todorov SD, de Melo Franco BD, Converti A, de Souza Oliveira RP. Novel biotechnological applications of bacteriocins: a review. *Food Control*. 2013 Jul 1;32(1):134-42.
16. Demain AL, Adrio JL. Contributions of microorganisms to industrial biology. *Molecular biotechnology*. 2008 Jan; 38:41-55.
17. Chojnacka K. Biosorption and bioaccumulation—the prospects for practical applications. *Environment international*. 2010 Apr 1;36(3):299-307.
18. Tully R. Genetically Engineered Food Right-to-Know: Recommendations for Specific, Unthreatening, and Accurate GE Food Labels.
19. Kocabaş DS, Grumet R. Evolving regulatory policies regarding food enzymes produced by recombinant microorganisms. *GM Crops & Food*. 2019;10(4):191.
20. Demain AL, Vaishnav P. Production of recombinant proteins by microbes and higher organisms. *Biotechnology advances*. 2009 May 1;27(3):297-306.
21. Ferrer-Miralles N, Domingo-Espín J, Corchero JL, Vázquez E, Villaverde A. Microbial factories for recombinant pharmaceuticals. *Microbial cell factories*. 2009 Dec; 8:1-8.
22. Baneyx F, Mujacic M. Recombinant protein folding and misfolding in *Escherichia coli*. *Nature biotechnology*. 2004 Nov 1;22(11):1399-408.
23. Sharma B, Shukla P. Futuristic avenues of metabolic engineering techniques in bioremediation. *Biotechnology and Applied Biochemistry*. 2022 Feb;69(1):51-60.
24. Pang LK, Huang MF, Gingold JA, Pena M, Zhao R, Lee DF. Progress and possibilities for patient-derived iPSCs and genetically engineered stem cells in cancer modeling and targeted therapies. *iPSCs-State of the Science*. 2022 Jan 1:247-88.
25. Houdebine LM. Production of pharmaceutical proteins by transgenic animals. *Comparative immunology, microbiology and infectious diseases*. 2009 Mar 1;32(2):107-21.
26. Joutey NT, Bahafid W, Sayel H, El Ghachtouli N. Biodegradation: involved microorganisms and genetically engineered microorganisms. *Biodegradation-life of science*. 2013 Jun 14; 1:289-320.

Review Article

Open Access

27. Stryjewska A, Kiepusa K, Librowski T, Lochyński S. Biotechnology and genetic engineering in the new drug development. Part I. DNA technology and recombinant proteins. *Pharmacological reports*. 2013 Sep;65(5):1075-85.
28. Tzifira T, Citovsky V. Agrobacterium-mediated genetic transformation of plants: biology and biotechnology. *Current opinion in biotechnology*. 2006 Apr 1;17(2):147-54.
29. Heckmeier PJ, Langosch D. Site-Specific Fragmentation of Green Fluorescent Protein Induced by Blue Light. *Biochemistry*. 2021 Jul 27;60(32):2457-62.
30. Mostafavi E, Zare H. Carbon-based nanomaterials in gene therapy. *OpenNano*. 2022 Jul 30:100062.
31. Vasil IK. A history of plant biotechnology: from the cell theory of Schleiden and Schwann to biotech crops. *Plant cell reports*. 2008 Sep; 27:1423-40.
32. Alberts B, Johnson A, Lewis J, Raff M, Roberts K, Walter P. Isolating, cloning, and sequencing DNA. In *Molecular Biology of the Cell*. 4th edition 2002. Garland Science.
33. Thomason LC, Sawitzke JA, Li X, Costantino N, Court DL. Recombineering: genetic engineering in bacteria using homologous recombination. *Current protocols in molecular biology*. 2014 Apr;106(1):1-6.
34. Davis L. *Basic methods in molecular biology*. Elsevier; 2012 Dec 2.
35. Alberti S, Gitler AD, Lindquist S. A suite of Gateway® cloning vectors for high-throughput genetic analysis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Yeast*. 2007 Oct;24(10):913-9.
36. Glick BR, Patten CL. *Molecular biotechnology: principles and applications of recombinant DNA*. John Wiley & Sons; 2022 Mar 15.
37. Brown TA. *Gene cloning and DNA analysis: an introduction*. John Wiley & Sons; 2020 Nov 23.
38. Davison J. Risk mitigation of genetically modified bacteria and plants designed for bioremediation. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology*. 2005 Dec 1;32(11-12):639-50.
39. Bayat H, Omidi M, Rajabibazl M, Sabri S, Rahimpour A. The CRISPR growth spurt: from bench to clinic on versatile small RNAs. *Journal of microbiology and biotechnology*. 2017;27(2):207-18.
40. Song J, Gonzalles G, Liu J, Dai Z, Li D, Liu C, Zhang M. Optimization of explosion puffing drying for high-value yellow-fleshed peach crisps using response surface methodology. *Drying Technology*. 2019 Jun 11;37(8):929-40.
41. Santos-Merino M, Singh AK, Ducat DC. New applications of synthetic biology tools for cyanobacterial metabolic engineering. *Frontiers in bioengineering and biotechnology*. 2019 Feb 27; 7:33.
42. Verma AK, Chettri D, Verma AK. Potential of CRISPR/Cas9-based genome editing in the fields of industrial biotechnology: strategies, challenges, and applications. *Industrial microbiology and biotechnology*. 2022 Mar 8:667-90.
43. Börner RA, Kandasamy V, Axelsen AM, Nielsen AT, Bosma EF. Genome editing of lactic acid bacteria: opportunities for food, feed, pharma and biotech. *FEMS microbiology letters*. 2019 Jan;366(1): fny291.
44. Salazar-Cerezo S, Kun RS, De Vries RP, Garrigues S. CRISPR/Cas9 technology enables the development of the filamentous ascomycete fungus *Penicillium subrubescens* as a new industrial enzyme producer. *Enzyme and microbial technology*. 2020 Feb 1; 133:109463.

Review Article

Open Access

45. Shi S, Qi N, Nielsen J. Microbial production of chemicals driven by CRISPR-Cas systems. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*. 2022 Feb 1; 73:34-42.
46. Mekuto L, Ntwampe SK, Mudumbi JB. Microbial communities associated with the co-metabolism of free cyanide and thiocyanate under alkaline conditions. *3 Biotech*. 2018 Feb; 8:1-1.
47. Gupta N, Sundar RD, Arunachalam S. A Review on the Genetically Engineered Microbes for Bioremediation of THMs Namely Hg and Cr. *ECS Transactions*. 2022 Apr 24;107(1):11509.
48. Azad MA, Amin L, Sidik NM. Genetically engineered organisms for bioremediation of pollutants in contaminated sites. *Chinese science bulletin*. 2014 Mar; 59:703-14.
49. Ritala A, Häkkinen ST, Toivari M, Wiebe MG. Single cell protein—state-of-the-art, industrial landscape and patents 2001–2016. *Frontiers in microbiology*. 2017 Oct 13; 8:2009.
50. Nie Z, Zhang Y, Tang R, Wang X. Biomimetic mineralization: An emerging organism engineering strategy for biomedical applications. *Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry*. 2022 Apr 6:111815.
51. Trögl J, Chauhan A, Ripp S, Layton AC, Kuncová G, Sayler GS. *Pseudomonas fluorescens* HK44: lessons learned from a model whole-cell bioreporter with a broad application history. *Sensors*. 2012 Feb 6;12(2):1544-71.
52. Plotnikova EG, Shumkova ES, Shumkov MS. Whole-cell bacterial biosensors for the detection of aromatic hydrocarbons and their chlorinated derivatives. *Applied Biochemistry and Microbiology*. 2016 Jul; 52:347-57.
53. Verma S, Kuila A. Bioremediation of heavy metals by microbial process. *Environmental Technology & Innovation*. 2019 May 1; 14:100369.
54. Sayler GS, Ripp S. Field applications of genetically engineered microorganisms for bioremediation processes. *Current opinion in biotechnology*. 2000 Jun 1;11(3):286-9.
55. Jaiswal S, Shukla P. Alternative strategies for microbial remediation of pollutants via synthetic biology. *Frontiers in microbiology*. 2020 May 19; 11:808.
56. Sharma P. Efficiency of bacteria and bacterial assisted phytoremediation of heavy metals: an update. *Bioresource Technology*. 2021 May 1; 328:124835.
57. Benjamin SR, de Lima F, Rathoure AK. Genetically engineered microorganisms for bioremediation processes: GEMs for bioremediation. *InBiotechnology: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications 2019* (pp. 1607-1634). IGI Global.
58. Zhang Y, Geary T, Simpson BK. Genetically modified food enzymes: A review. *Current Opinion in Food Science*. 2019 Feb 1; 25:14-8.
59. Trono D. Recombinant enzymes in the food and pharmaceutical industries. *InAdvances in enzyme technology 2019* Jan 1 (pp. 349-387). Elsevier.
60. Chettri R, Tamang JP. Functional properties of Tungrymbai and Bekang, naturally fermented soybean foods of North East India.
61. Sharma A, Gupta G, Ahmad T, Mansoor S, Kaur B. Enzyme engineering: current trends and future perspectives. *Food Reviews International*. 2021 Feb 17;37(2):121-54.
62. Li S, Yang Q, Tang B, Chen A. Improvement of enzymatic properties of *Rhizopus oryzae* α -amylase by site-saturation mutagenesis of histidine 286. *Enzyme and microbial technology*. 2018 Oct 1; 117:96-102.

Review Article

Open Access

63. Xu D, Yao H, Cao C, Xu Z, Li S, Xu Z, Zhou J, Feng X, Xu H. Enhancement of ϵ -poly-L-lysine production by overexpressing the ammonium transporter gene in *Streptomyces albulus* PD-1. *Bioprocess and biosystems engineering*. 2018 Sep; 41:1337-45.
64. Plavec TV, Berlec A. Safety aspects of genetically modified lactic acid bacteria. *Microorganisms*. 2020 Feb 21;8(2):297.
65. Mays ZJ, Nair NU. Synthetic biology in probiotic lactic acid bacteria: At the frontier of living therapeutics. *Current opinion in biotechnology*. 2018 Oct 1; 53:224-31.
66. Germond JE, Delley M, D'Amico N, Vincent SJ. Heterologous expression and characterization of the exopolysaccharide from *Streptococcus thermophilus* Sfi39. *European Journal of Biochemistry*. 2001 Oct 1;268(19):5149-56.
67. Mierau I, Kleerebezem M. 10 years of the nisin-controlled gene expression system (NICE) in *Lactococcus lactis*. *Applied microbiology and biotechnology*. 2005 Oct; 68:705-17.
68. Bron PA, Marcelli B, Mulder J, van der Els S, Morawska LP, Kuipers OP, Kok J, Kleerebezem M. Renaissance of traditional DNA transfer strategies for improvement of industrial lactic acid bacteria. *Current opinion in biotechnology*. 2019 Apr 1; 56:61-8.
69. Yang F, Hou C, Zeng X, Qiao S. The use of lactic acid bacteria as a probiotic in swine diets. *Pathogens*. 2015 Jan 27;4(1):34-45.
70. Mazhar SF, Afzal M, Almatroudi A, Munir S, Ashfaq UA, Rasool M, Raza H, Munir HM, Rajoka MS, Khurshid M. The prospects for the therapeutic implications of genetically engineered probiotics. *Journal of Food Quality*. 2020 Apr 7; 2020:1-1.
71. Zielińska D, Kolożyn-Krajewska D. Food-origin lactic acid bacteria may exhibit probiotic properties. *BioMed research international*. 2018;2018.
72. Ahmed FE. Genetically modified probiotics in foods. *Trends in biotechnology*. 2003 Nov 1;21(11):491-7.
73. Arun KB, Madhavan A, Emmanuel S, Sindhu R, Binod P, Pandey A. Enzymes in probiotics and genetically modified foods. In *Value-addition in food products and processing through enzyme technology 2022* Jan 1 (pp. 13-23). Academic Press.
74. Saber R, Zadeh M, Pakanati KC, Bere P, Klaenhammer T, Mohamadzadeh M. Lipoteichoic acid-deficient *Lactobacillus acidophilus* regulates downstream signals. *Immunotherapy*. 2011 Mar;3(3):337-47.
75. Gui Q, Lawson T, Shan S, Yan L, Liu Y. The application of whole cell-based biosensors for use in environmental analysis and in medical diagnostics. *Sensors*. 2017 Jul 13;17(7):1623.
76. Long F, Zhu A, Shi H. Recent advances in optical biosensors for environmental monitoring and early warning. *Sensors*. 2013 Oct 15;13(10):13928-48.
77. Lei Y, Chen W, Mulchandani A. Microbial biosensors. *Analytica chimica acta*. 2006 May 24;568(1-2):200-10.
78. Chaudhary S, Kanwar RK, Sehgal A, Cahill DM, Barrow CJ, Sehgal R, Kanwar JR. Progress on *Azadirachta indica* based biopesticides in replacing synthetic toxic pesticides. *Frontiers in plant science*. 2017 May 8; 8:610.
79. Snowden FM. Emerging and reemerging diseases: a historical perspective. *Immunological reviews*. 2008 Oct;225(1):9-26.
80. Kumari I, Hussain R, Sharma S, Ahmed M. Microbial biopesticides for sustainable agricultural practices. In *Biopesticides 2022* Jan 1 (pp. 301-317). Woodhead Publishing.
81. Lubertozzi D, Keasling JD. Developing *Aspergillus* as a host for heterologous expression. *Biotechnology advances*. 2009 Jan 1;27(1):53-75.

Review Article

Open Access

82. Dahiya N, Tewari R, Hoondal GS. Biotechnological aspects of chitinolytic enzymes: a review. *Applied microbiology and biotechnology*. 2006 Aug; 71:773-82.
83. Rajkumar M, Ae N, Prasad MN, Freitas H. Potential of siderophore-producing bacteria for improving heavy metal phytoextraction. *Trends in biotechnology*. 2010 Mar 1;28(3):142-9.
84. Ryan PR, Dessaux Y, Thomashow LS, Weller DM. Rhizosphere engineering and management for sustainable agriculture.
85. Karabörklü S, Azizoglu U, Azizoglu ZB. Recombinant entomopathogenic agents: a review of biotechnological approaches to pest insect control. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*. 2018 Jan; 34:1-2.
86. Azizoglu U, Jouzani GS, Yilmaz N, Baz E, Ozkok D. Genetically modified entomopathogenic bacteria, recent developments, benefits and impacts: A review. *Science of The Total Environment*. 2020 Sep 10; 734:139169.
87. Kuzmanović N, Puławska J, Hao L, Burr TJ. The ecology of *Agrobacterium vitis* and management of crown gall disease in vineyards. *Agrobacterium Biology: From Basic Science to Biotechnology*. 2018:15-53.
88. Sehaole EK. Genetic Transformation in Agro-Economically Important Legumes.
89. Wu D, Li A, Ma F, Yang J, Xie Y. Genetic control and regulatory mechanisms of succinoglycan and curdlan biosynthesis in genus *Agrobacterium*. *Applied microbiology and biotechnology*. 2016 Jul; 100:6183-92.
90. Osman GE, El-Ghareeb D, Already R, Assaeedi AS, Organji SR, Abulreesh HH, Althubiani AS. Bioinsecticide *Bacillus thuringiensis* a comprehensive review. *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*. 2015 Jan 1;25(1).
91. Abbas MS. Genetically engineered (modified) crops (*Bacillus thuringiensis* crops) and the world controversy on their safety. *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*. 2018 Dec; 28:1-2.
92. Cookson W, Liang L, Abecasis G, Moffatt M, Lathrop M. Mapping complex disease traits with global gene expression. *Nature Reviews Genetics*. 2009 Mar;10(3):184-94.
93. Rebollo R, Romanish MT, Mager DL. Transposable elements: an abundant and natural source of regulatory sequences for host genes. *Annual review of genetics*. 2012 Dec 15; 46:21-42.
94. Kemper KE, Goddard ME. Understanding and predicting complex traits: knowledge from cattle. *Human molecular genetics*. 2012 Oct 15;21(R1): R45-51.
95. Singh JS, Abhilash PC, Singh HB, Singh RP, Singh DP. Genetically engineered bacteria: an emerging tool for environmental remediation and future research perspectives. *Gene*. 2011 Jul 1;480(1-2):1-9.
96. Gatew H, Mengistu K. Genetically modified foods (GMOs); a review of genetic engineering. *J. Life Sci. Biomed*. 2019;9(6):157-63.
97. Singh RB, Mishra S, Saxena P, Saxena M, Smail MM, Velluri SR. Genetically modified organisms and foods: perspectives and challenges. *Functional Foods and Nutraceuticals in Metabolic and Non-Communicable Diseases*. 2022 Jan 1:493-505.
98. Bauer-Panskus A, Miyazaki J, Kawall K, Then C. Risk assessment of genetically engineered plants that can persist and propagate in the environment. *Environmental Sciences Europe*. 2020 Dec;32(1):1-5.
99. Kolseth AK, D'Hertefeldt T, Emmerich M, Forabosco F, Marklund S, Cheeke TE, Hallin S, Weih M. Influence of genetically modified organisms on agro-ecosystem processes. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*. 2015 Dec 27; 214:96-106.

Review Article

Open Access

100. Bruinsma M, Kowalchuk GA, Van Veen JA. Effects of genetically modified plants on microbial communities and processes in soil. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*. 2003 May; 37:329-37.
101. Goodman RE, Panda R, Ariyaratna H. Evaluation of endogenous allergens for the safety evaluation of genetically engineered food crops: review of potential risks, test methods, examples and relevance. *Journal of agricultural and food chemistry*. 2013 Sep 4;61(35):8317-32.
102. Chassy BM. Food safety risks and consumer health. *New Biotechnology*. 2010 Nov 30;27(5):534-44.
103. Rizi A, Raddadi N, Sorlini C, Nordgrd L, Nielsen KM, Daffonchio D. The stability and degradation of dietary DNA in the gastrointestinal tract of mammals: implications for horizontal gene transfer and the biosafety of GMOs. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*. 2012 Feb 1;52(2):142-61.
104. Chang Q, Wang W, Regev-Yochay G, Lipsitch M, Hanage WP. Antibiotics in agriculture and the risk to human health: how worried should we be? *Evolutionary applications*. 2015 Mar;8(3):240-7.
105. Rajendran P, Muthukrishnan J, Gunasekaran P. Microbes in heavy metal remediation.
106. Wolfenbarger LL, Phifer PR. The ecological risks and benefits of genetically engineered plants. *Science*. 2000 Dec 15;290(5499):2088-93.